



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/585,358	06/02/2000	Atsushi Maeda	. 500.38618X00	6526
20457 7	12/04/2003	EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			ZAND, KAMBIZ	
SUITE 1800	SEVENTEENTH STREE	1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON	ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889		2132	0'
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	В

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		PKq
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summers	09/585,358	MAEDA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kambiz Zand	2132
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a to a reply within the statutory minimum of thin third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	2 June 2000.	
, <u> </u>	his action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims	o pano unij.o, 1000 o	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application	าก	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 June 2000</u> is/are	: a) accepted or b) ⊠obje	cted to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International But 	oriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign language		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4 have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449

2. The pages of the all references submitted by applicant have been considered.

Drawings

- 3. New corrected drawings are required in this application because the original informal drawings submitted by Applicant is not in English language. Accordingly, new drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include reference sign(s) mentioned in the description (see disclosure): Correction is required.
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description (see figures 1-9's reference signs not mentioned in the description): Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 2132

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. **Claim 3** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the target host" and "the security communication" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Double Patenting

9. Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 3

Art Unit: 2132

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

11. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perlman (5,455,865 A).

As per claims 1 and 4 Perlman (5,455,865 A) teach an storage medium and a method having a hierarchical network (see fig.1, 7a-b; col.2, lines 33-36 where a hierarchical network is disclosed) with a domain name and address at each hierarchy (see fig.3a,4a,6a-b and 8a where each source or node of hierarchical network ahs a domain name and unique address represented by source id's; col.5, lines 31-40), a DNS server provided at each hierarchy where the DNS server distribute a public key of a host to the host belongs to the network (see col.5, lines 38-40 and 50-55; col.3, lines 62-67; col.4, lines 1-5 where the DNS server which is represented by the node and it's storage where the public keys are stored distribute a public key to other nodes or server by replacing the source address field; col.3, lines 20-22 where the public key is distributed to other nodes by broadcasting distribution method); and database for storing the public key (see col.5, lines 34-40 where a memory is an storage for storing data and where allocation of the public key and unique id's and other information in lines 41-57 is the database of each node since the database is nothing but a space within an storage area where information is kept) comprising having an inquiry from a first host to the second host to obtain information on the public key of the second host; triggering a response by sending the information on public key of the second host to the first host (see col.5,

Art Unit: 2132

lines 58-67; col.6, lines 1-11 where by using a handshake the request for inquiry and the response is being taken place and where each node transmit the public key requested by the other node in order to identify themselves to each other).

As per claim 2 Perlman (5,455,865 A) teach a method for managing a public key as claimed in claim 1, wherein the DNS server receives an inquiry of the public key of the second host from the first host, if no entry corresponding to the domain name inquiry is found in said database of said DNS server itself, the solution of said public key is recursively entrusted to another DNS server provided with said another means for managing a public key and database along the hierarchy of said domain name (see claim 1 above for the handshake and inquiry and response; as for the no entry see col.6, lines 1-2 where the node that is unable to provide a valid id packet is ignored and the valid packet is the packet that corresponds to fig.3a,4a,6a-b and 8a that includes public key and other information that identifies the node or DNS server and therefore if no match then is being ignored; col.5, lines 65-67 and col.6, line 1 also disclose that each received packet is verified by comparing the ID/public key pair with the stored PKL; col.6, lines 2-12 disclose that even if a node is ignored because of no match in the entry of the database the process continues to other nodes until all the nodes are identified).

As per claim 3 Perlman (5,455,865 A) teach a method for managing a public key as claimed in claim 1, wherein said host provides means for inquiring said DNS server of

Art Unit: 2132

the public key of another host (see col.5, lines 58-67; col.6, lines 1-11 where by using a handshake the request for inquiry and the response is being taken place and where each node transmit the public key requested by the other node in order to identify themselves to each other); and means serving to inquire said DNS server of the corresponding public key to the domain name of the target host when security communication is started (see fig.4b,5a and 5b where means for inquiry with respect to corresponding information are disclosed based on the communication between the nodes).

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - U.S.Patent No. US (5,175,765 A) teach robust data broadcasting over a distributed network.
 - U.S.Patent No. US (6,111,883 A) teach repeater and network system utilizing the same.
 - U.S.Patent No. US (5,109,384 A) teach guaranteed reliable broadcast network.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (703) 306-

Art Unit: 2132

Page 7

4169. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

Official

(703) 872-9306

Kambiz Zand

11/26/2003

GILBERTO BARRON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100